arXiv:1106.4769v2 [math.FA] 19 Mar 2012

WIENER-HOPF OPERATORS AND SPECTRAL PROBLEMS ON $L^2_\omega(\mathbb{R}^+)$

VIOLETA PETKOVA

ABSTRACT. We study bounded operators T on the weighted space $L^2_\omega(\mathbb{R}^+)$ commuting either with the right translations S_t, $t \in \mathbb{R}^+$, or left translations P^+S_{-t}, $t \in \mathbb{R}^+$, and we establish the existence of a symbol μ of T. We characterize completely the spectrum $\sigma(S_t)$ of the operator S_t proving that

$$\sigma(S_t) = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| \leq e^{t\alpha_0}\},$$

where α_0 is the growth bound of $(S_t)_{t\geq 0}$. We obtain a similar result for the spectrum of (P^+S_{-t}), $t \geq 0$. Moreover, for a bounded operator T commuting with S_t, $t \geq 0$, we establish the inclusion $\overline{\mu(\mathcal{O})} \subset \sigma(T)$, where $\mathcal{O} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : \operatorname{Im} z < \alpha_0\}$.

Key Words: translations, spectrum of Wiener-Hopf operator, semigroup of translations, weighted spaces, symbol

AMS Classification: 47B37, 47B35, 47A10

1. INTRODUCTION

Let ω be a weight on $\mathbb{R}^+$. It means that ω is a positive, continuous function such that

$$0 < \inf_{x\geq 0} \frac{\omega(x+t)}{\omega(x)} \leq \sup_{x\geq 0} \frac{\omega(x+t)}{\omega(x)} < +\infty, \forall t \in \mathbb{R}^+.$$

Let $L^2_\omega(\mathbb{R}^+)$ be the set of measurable functions on $\mathbb{R}^+$ such that

$$\int_0^\infty |f(x)|^2\omega(x)^2 dx < +\infty.$$

The space $H = L^2_\omega(\mathbb{R}^+)$ equipped with the scalar product

$$< f, g >= \int_{\mathbb{R}^+} f(x)\overline{g}(x)\omega(x)^2 dx,\ f \in L^2_\omega(\mathbb{R}^+),\ g \in L^2_\omega(\mathbb{R}^+)$$

and the related norm $\|.\|$ is a Hilbert space. Let $C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ (resp. $C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^+)$) be the space of C^∞ functions on $\mathbb{R}$ (resp. $\mathbb{R}^+$) with compact support in $\mathbb{R}$ (resp. $\mathbb{R}^+$). Notice that

---

Universite de Lorraine, LMAM, UMR 7122, Bat A, Ile de Saulcy, 57045 Metz Cedex 1, France, petkova@univ-metz.fr.

$C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^+)$ is dense in $L^2_\omega(\mathbb{R}^+)$. For $t \in \mathbb{R}^+$, define the (right) shift operator S_t on H by

$$(S_t f)(x) = \begin{cases} f(x-t), a.e.\ if\ x - t \geq 0, \\ 0,\ if\ x - t < 0. \end{cases}$$

For simplicity S_1 will be denoted by S. Let P^+ be the projection from $L^2(\mathbb{R}^-) \oplus L^2_\omega(\mathbb{R}^+)$ into $L^2_\omega(\mathbb{R}^+)$. For $t > 0$ define the (left) shift operator $(P^+S_{-t})f(x) = P^+f(x+t)$ a.e. $x \in \mathbb{R}^+$. Let I be the identity operator on $L^2_\omega(\mathbb{R}^+)$.

Definition 1. *An operator T on $L^2_\omega(\mathbb{R}^+)$ is called a Wiener-Hopf operator if T is bounded and*

$$P^+S_{-t}TS_t f = Tf,\ \forall t \in \mathbb{R}^+,\ f \in L^2_\omega(\mathbb{R}^+).$$

Every Wiener-Hopf operator T has a representation by a convolution (see [5]). More precisely, there exists a distribution μ such that

$$Tf = P^+(\mu * f),\ \forall f \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^+).$$

If $\phi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ then the operator

$$L^2_\omega(\mathbb{R}^+) \ni f \longrightarrow P^+(\phi * f)$$

is a Wiener-Hopf operator and we will denote it by T_ϕ.

A bounded operator T commuting either with S_t, $\forall t > 0$ or with P^+S_{-t}, $\forall t > 0$ is a Wiener-Hopf operator. On the other hand, every operator $\alpha P^+S_{-t} + \beta S_t$ with $t > 0$, α, $\beta \in \mathbb{C}$ is a Wiener-Hopf operator. It is clear that the set of Wiener-Hopf operators is not a sub-algebra of the algebra of the bounded operators on $L^2_\omega(\mathbb{R}^+)$.

Notice also that

$$(P^+S_{-t}S_t)f = f,\ \forall f \in L^2_\omega(\mathbb{R}^+),\ t > 0,$$

but it is obvious that $(S_tP^+S_{-t})f \neq f$, for all $f \in L^2_\omega(\mathbb{R}^+)$ with a support not included in $]t, +\infty[$. The fact that S is not invertible leads to many difficulties in contrast to the case when we deal with the space $L^2_\omega(\mathbb{R})$. The later space has been considered in [7] and [8] and the author has studied the operators commuting with the translations on $L^2_\omega(\mathbb{R})$ characterizing their spectrum. The group of translations on $L^2_\omega(\mathbb{R})$ is commutative and the investigation of its spectrum is easier. In this work, first we apply some ideas used in [7] and [8] to study Wiener-Hopf operators on $L^2_\omega(\mathbb{R}^+)$. For this purpose it is necessary to treat two semigroups of not invertible operators instead of a group of invertible operators. More precisely, we must deal with the semigroups $(S_t)_{t\in\mathbb{R}^+}$ and $(P^+S_{-t})_{t\in\mathbb{R}^+}$ on $L^2_\omega(\mathbb{R}^+)$.

Consider the semigroup $(S_t)_{t\geq 0}$ on $L^2_\omega(\mathbb{R}^+)$ and let A be its generator. We have the estimate

$$\|S_t\| \leq Ce^{mt},\ \forall t \in \mathbb{R}^+.$$

and a similar estimate holds for the semigroup $(P^+S_{-t})_{t\geq 0}$. This follows from the fact that the weight ω is equivalent to the special weight $\tilde{\omega_0}$ constructed in [5] following [1].

Denote by $\rho(B)$ (resp. $\sigma(B)$) the spectral radius (resp. the spectrum) of an operator B. Introduce the ground orders of the semigroups $(S_t)_{t\geq 0}$ and $(P^+S_{-t})_{t\geq 0}$ by

$$\alpha_0 = \lim_{t\to\infty} \frac{1}{t}\ln \|S_t\|,\ \alpha_1 = \lim_{t\to\infty} \frac{1}{t}\ln \|P^+S_{-t}\|.$$

Then it is well known (see for example [2]) that we have

$$\rho(S_t) = e^{\alpha_0 t},\ \rho(P^+S_{-t}) = e^{\alpha_1 t}.$$

Let $\mathcal{I}$ by the interval $[-\alpha_1, \alpha_0]$ and define

$$\Omega := \Big\{ z \in \mathbb{C} :\ e^{-\alpha_1} \leq |z| \leq e^{\alpha_0} \Big\}.$$

Notice that $\alpha_1 + \alpha_0 \geq 0$. Indeed, for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we have $P^+S_{-n}S_n = I$ and

$$1 \leq \limsup_{n\to\infty} \|(P^+S_{-1})^n\|^{1/n} \limsup_{n\to\infty} \|S^n\|^{1/n} = e^{\alpha_1}e^{\alpha_0}$$

which yields the result. For a function f and $a \in \mathbb{C}$ we denote by $(f)_a$ the function

$$(f)_a : x \longrightarrow f(x)e^{ax}.$$

Denote by $\mathcal{F}f = \hat{f}$ the usual Fourier transformation on $L^2(\mathbb{R})$. If a function $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^+)$, then we define $\hat{f}$ extending f as 0 on $\mathbb{R}^-$. Our first result is the following

Theorem 1. *Let $a \in \mathcal{I} = [-\alpha_1,\ \alpha_0]$ and let T be a Wiener-Hopf operator. Then for every $f \in L^2_\omega(\mathbb{R}^+)$ such that $(f)_a \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^+)$, we have*

$$(Tf)_a = P^+\mathcal{F}^{-1}(h_a\widehat{(f)_a}) \tag{1.1}$$

with $h_a \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ and

$$\|h_a\|_\infty \leq C\|T\|,$$

where C is a constant independent of a. Moreover, if $\alpha_1 + \alpha_0 > 0$, the function h defined on $U = \{z \in \mathbb{C} :\ \operatorname{Im} z \in [-\alpha_1,\ \alpha_0]\}$ by $h(z) = h_{\operatorname{Im} z}(\operatorname{Re} z)$ is holomorphic on $\overset{\circ}{U}$.

Definition 2. *The function h defined in Theorem 1 is called the symbol of T.*

A weaker result that Theorem 1 has been proved in [5] where the representation (1.1) has been obtained only for functions $f \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^+)$ which is too restrictive for the applications to the spectral problems studied in Section 3 and Section 4. On the other hand, in the proof in [5] there is a gap in the approximation argument. Guided by the approach in [8], in this work we prove a stronger version of the result of [5] applying other techniques based essentially on the spectral theory of semigroups. On the other hand, in many interesting cases as $\omega(x) = e^x$, $\omega(x) = e^{-x}$, we have $\alpha_0 + \alpha_1 = 0$ and the result of Theorem 1 is not satisfying since the symbol of T is defined only on the line $\operatorname{Im} z = \alpha_0$. To obtain more complete results we introduce the following class of operators.

Definition 3. *Denote by $\mathcal{M}$ the set of bounded operators on $L^2_\omega(\mathbb{R}^+)$ commuting either with S_t, $\forall t > 0$ or P^+S_{-t}, $\forall t > 0$.*

For operators in $\mathcal{M}$ we obtain a stronger version of Theorem 1.

Theorem 2. *Let T be a bounded operator commuting with $(S_t)_{t>0}$ (resp. $(P^+S_{-t})_{t>0}$). Let $a \in J =]0, \alpha_0]$ (resp. $K =]0, \alpha_1]$). Then for every $f \in L^2_\omega(\mathbb{R}^+)$ such that $(f)_a \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^+)$, we have*

$$(Tf)_a = P^+\mathcal{F}^{-1}(h_a\widehat{(f)_a})$$

with $h_a \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ and

$$\|h_a\|_\infty \leq C\|T\|,$$

where C is a constant independent of a. Moreover, the function h defined on $\mathcal{O} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : \operatorname{Im} z < \alpha_0\}$ (resp. $V = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : \operatorname{Im} z > -\alpha_1\}$) by $h(z) = h_{\operatorname{Im} z}(\operatorname{Re} z)$ is holomorphic on $\mathcal{O}$ (resp. V).

Our main spectral result is the following

Theorem 3. *We have*

$$(i) \quad \sigma(S_t) = \{z \in \mathbb{C}, \ |z| \leq e^{\alpha_0 t}\}, \ \forall t > 0. \tag{1.2}$$

$$(ii) \quad \sigma(P^+S_{-t}) = \{z \in \mathbb{C}, \ |z| \leq e^{\alpha_1 t}\}, \ \forall t > 0. \tag{1.3}$$

Let $T \in \mathcal{M}$ and let μ_T be the symbol of T.
iii) If T commutes with S_t, $\forall t \geq 0$, then we have

$$\overline{\mu_T(\mathcal{O})} \subset \sigma(T). \tag{1.4}$$

iv) If T commutes with P^+S_{-t}, $\forall t \geq 0$, then we have

$$\overline{\mu_T(V)} \subset \sigma(T). \tag{1.5}$$

It is important to note that for $T \in \mathcal{M}$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, if the resolvent $(T - \lambda I)^{-1}$ exists, than this operator is also in $\mathcal{M}$. In general, this property is not valid for all Wiener-Hopf operators. The above result cannot be obtained from a spectral calculus which is unknown and quite difficult to construct for the operators in $\mathcal{M}$. On the other hand, our analysis shows the importance of the existence of symbols and this was our main motivation to establish Theorem 1 and Theorem 2.

The spectrum of the weighted right and left shifts on $l^2(\mathbb{R}^+)$ denoted respectively by R and L has been studied in [9]. It particular, it was shown that

$$\sigma(R) = \sigma(L) = \{z \in \mathbb{C}, \ |z| \leq \rho(R)\}. \tag{1.6}$$

In this special case the operators R and L are adjoint, while this property in general is not true for S and P^+S_{-1}.

The equalities (1.2), (1.3) are the analogue in $L^2_\omega(\mathbb{R}^+)$ of (1.6) however our proof is quite different from that in [9] and we use essentially Theorem 2. Moreover, these results agree with the spectrum of composition operators studied in [10] and the circular symmetry about 0. In the standard case $\omega = 1$ the spectral results (1.2), (1.3) are well known (see, for example Chapter V, [2]). Their proof in this special case is based on the fact that the spectrum of the generator A of $(S_t)_{t\geq 0}$ is in $\{z \in \mathbb{C},\ \mathrm{Re}\, z \leq 0\}$ and the spectral mapping theorem for semigroups yields $\sigma(S_t) = \{z \in \mathbb{C},\ |z| \leq 1\}$. Notice also that in this case we have

$$s(A) = \sup\{\mathrm{Re}\,\lambda :\ \lambda \in \sigma(A)\} = \alpha_0 = 0,$$

so the spectral bound $s(A)$ of A is equal to the ground order and there is no *spectral gap*. In the general setting we deal with it is quite difficult to describe the spectrum of A. Consequently, we cannot obtain (1.2) from the spectrum of A and our techniques are not based on $\sigma(A)$. Moreover, if for the semigroup S_t on $L^2_\omega(\mathbb{R}^+)$ we can apply the spectral mapping theorem, since S_t preserves positive functions (see [11], [12]), in general this is not true for other Hilbert spaces of functions and we could have a spectral gap $s(A) < \alpha_0$. This shows the importance of our approach which works also for more general Hilbert spaces H of functions (see the conditions on H listed below). To our best knowledge it seems that Theorem 3 is the first result in the literature giving a complete characterization of $\sigma(S_t)$ and $\sigma(P^+S_{-t})$ on the spaces $L^2_\omega(\mathbb{R}^+)$. On the other hand, for the weighted two-sided shift $\mathbf{S}$ in $L^2_\omega(\mathbb{R})$ a similar result has been established in [8] saying that

$$\sigma(\mathbf{S}) = \{z \in \mathbb{C} :\ \frac{1}{\rho(\mathbf{S}^{-1})} \leq |z| \leq \rho(\mathbf{S})\}.$$

Following the arguments in [7], the results of this paper may be extended to a larger setup. Indeed, instead of $L^2_\omega(\mathbb{R}^+)$ we may consider a Hilbert space of functions on $\mathbb{R}^+$ satisfying the following conditions:

(H1) $C_c(\mathbb{R}^+) \subset H \subset L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^+)$, with continuous inclusions, and $C_c(\mathbb{R}^+)$ is dense in H.

(H2) For every $x \in \mathbb{R}$, $P^+S_x(H) \subset H$ and $\sup_{x\in K} \|P^+S_x\| < +\infty$, for every compact set $K \subset \mathbb{R}$.

(H3) For every $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, let T_α be the operator defined by

$$T_\alpha : H \ni f \longrightarrow \Big(\mathbb{R} \ni x \longrightarrow f(x)e^{i\alpha x}\Big).$$

We have $T_\alpha(H) \subset H$ and moreover, $\sup_{\alpha\in\mathbb{R}} \|T_\alpha\| < +\infty$.

(H4) There exists $C_1 > 0$ and $a_1 \geq 0$ such that $\|S_x\| \leq C_1 e^{a_1|x|}$, $\forall x \in \mathbb{R}^+$.

(H5) There exists $C_2 > 0$ and $a_2 \geq 0$ such that $\|P^+S_{-x}\| \leq C_2 e^{a_2|x|}$, $\forall x \in \mathbb{R}^+$.

Taking into account (H3), without lost of generalities we may consider that in H we have $\|fe^{i\alpha\cdot}\| = \|f\|$. For the simplicity of the exposition we deal with the case $H = L^2_\omega(\mathbb{R}^+)$

and the reader may consult [7] for the changes necessary to cover the more general setup.

2. Proof of Theorem 1

By using the arguments based on the spectral results for semigroups (see [3], [4]) we will prove the following

Lemma 1. *Let* λ *be such that* $e^{\lambda} \in \sigma(S)$ *and* $\operatorname{Re}\lambda = \alpha_0$. *Then there exists a sequence* $(n_k)_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ *of integers and a sequence* $(f_{m_k})_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ *of functions of* H *such that*

$$\lim_{k\to\infty} \|\Big(e^{tA} - e^{(\lambda+2\pi i n_k)t}\Big) f_{m_k}\| = 0,\ \forall t \in \mathbb{R}^+,\ \ \|f_{m_k}\| = 1,\ \forall k \in \mathbb{N}. \tag{2.1}$$

Proof. We have to deal with two cases: (i) $\lambda \in \sigma(A)$, (ii) $\lambda \notin \sigma(A)$. In the case (i) λ is in the approximative point spectrum of A. This follows from the fact that for any $\mu \in \mathbb{C}$ with $\operatorname{Re}\mu > \alpha_0$ we have $\mu \notin \sigma(A)$, since $s(A) \leq \alpha_0$.

Let μ_m be a sequence such that $\mu_m \to \lambda$, $\operatorname{Re}\mu_m > \lambda$. Then $\|(\mu_m I - A)^{-1}\| \geq (\text{dist}\ (\mu_m, spec(A)))^{-1}$, hence $\|(\mu_m I - A)^{-1}\| \to \infty$. Applying the uniform boundedness principle and passing to a subsequence μ_{m_k}, we may find $f \in H$ such that

$$\lim_{k\to\infty} \|(\mu_{m_k} I - A)^{-1} f\| = \infty.$$

Introduce $f_{m_k} \in D(A)$ defined by

$$f_{m_k} = \frac{(\mu_{m_k} I - A)^{-1} f}{\|(\mu_{m_k} I - A)^{-1} f\|}.$$

The identity

$$(\lambda - A) f_{m_k} = (\lambda - \mu_{m_k}) f_{m_k} + (\mu_{m_k} - A) f_{m_k}$$

implies that $(\lambda - A) f_{m_k} \to 0$ as $k \to \infty$. Then the equality

$$(e^{tA} - e^{t\lambda}) f_{m_k} = \Big(\int_0^t e^{\lambda(t-s)} e^{As} ds\Big)(A - \lambda) f_{m_k}$$

yields (2.1), where we take $n_k = 0$.

To deal with the case (ii), we repeat the argument in [7] and for the sake of completeness we present the details. We have $e^{\lambda} \in \sigma(e^A) \setminus e^{\sigma(A)}$. Applying the results for the spectrum of a semigroup in Hilbert space (see [3], [4]), we conclude that there exists a sequence of integers (n_k) such that $|n_k| \to \infty$ and

$$\|(A - (\lambda + 2\pi i n_k) I)^{-1}\| \geq k,\ \forall k \in \mathbb{N}.$$

We choose a sequence $(g_{m_k}) \in H$, $\|g_{m_k}\| = 1$ so that

$$\|(A - (\lambda + (2\pi i n_k) I)^{-1} g_{m_k}\| \geq k/2,\ \forall k \in \mathbb{N}$$

and define

$$f_{m_k} = \frac{(A - (\lambda + 2\pi i n_k) I)^{-1} g_{m_k}}{\|(A - (\lambda + 2\pi i n_k) I)^{-1} g_{m_k}\|}.$$

Next we have

$$(e^{tA}-e^{(\lambda+2\pi in_k)t})f_{m_k}=\Big(\int_0^t e^{(\lambda+2\pi in_k)(t-s)}e^{sA}ds\Big)(A-(2\pi in_k+\lambda)I)f_{m_k}$$

and we deduce (2.1). □

Lemma 2. *Let* λ *be such that* $e^\lambda\in\sigma(S)$ *and* $\operatorname{Re}\lambda=\alpha_0$. *Then, there exists a sequence* $(n_k)_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ *of integers and a sequence* $(f_{m_k})_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ *of functions of* H *such that for all* $t\in\mathbb{R}$,

$$\lim_{k\to\infty}\Big\|\Big(P^+S_t-e^{(\lambda+2\pi in_k)t}\Big)f_{m_k}\Big\|=0,\ \|f_{m_k}\|=1,\ \forall k\in\mathbb{N}. \tag{2.2}$$

Proof. Clearly, for $t\geq0$ we get (2.2) by (2.1). Moreover, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\|(P^+S_{-t}-e^{-(\lambda+2\pi in_k)t})f_{m_k}\|&=\|(P^+S_{-t}-e^{-(\lambda+2\pi in_k)t}P^+S_{-t}S_t)f_{m_k}\|\\ &\leq\|P^+S_{-t}\||e^{-(\lambda+2\pi in_k)t}|\Big\|\Big(e^{(\lambda+2\pi in_k)t}-S_t\Big)f_{m_k}\Big\|,\ \forall t\in\mathbb{R}^+.\end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\lim_{k\to\infty}\|(P^+S_{-t}-e^{-(\lambda+2\pi in_k)t})f_{m_k}\|=0.$$

and this completes the proof of (2.2). □

Lemma 3. *For all* $\phi\in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ *and* λ *such that* $e^\lambda\in\sigma(S)$ *with* $\operatorname{Re}\lambda=\alpha_0$ *we have*

$$|\hat{\phi}(i\lambda+a)|\leq\|T_\phi\|,\ \forall a\in\mathbb{R}. \tag{2.3}$$

Proof. Let $\lambda\in\mathbb{C}$ be such that $e^\lambda\in\sigma(S)$ with $\operatorname{Re}\lambda=\alpha_0$ and let $(f_{m_k})_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ be the sequence satisfying (2.2). Fix $\phi\in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ and consider

$$\begin{aligned}|\hat{\phi}(i\lambda+a)|&=|\int_{\mathbb{R}}\langle\phi(t)e^{(\lambda-ia)t}f_{m_k},f_{m_k}\rangle dt|\\ &\leq\Big|\int_{\mathbb{R}}\langle\phi(t)\Big(e^{(\lambda+i2\pi n_k)t}-P^+S_t\Big)e^{-i(a+2\pi n_k)t}f_{m_k},f_{m_k}\rangle dt\Big|\\ &\quad+\Big|\int_{\mathbb{R}}\langle\phi(t)P^+S_te^{-i(a+2\pi n_k)t}f_{m_k},f_{m_k}\rangle dt\Big|.\end{aligned}$$

The first term on the right side of the last inequality goes to 0 as $k\to\infty$ since by Lemma 1, for every fixed t we have

$$\lim_{k\to+\infty}\|e^{-i(a+2\pi n_k)t}\Big(e^{(\lambda+2\pi n_ki)t}-P^+S_t\Big)f_{m_k}\|=0.$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned}I_k&=\Big|\int_{\mathbb{R}}<\phi(t)P^+S_te^{-i(a+2\pi n_k)t}f_{m_k},f_{m_k}>dt\Big|\\ &=\Big|\langle\Big[\int_{\mathbb{R}}\phi(t)e^{-i(a+2\pi n_k)t}P^+f_{m_k}(.-t)dt\Big],f_{m_k}(.)\rangle\Big|\\ &=\Big|\langle P^+\int_{\mathbb{R}}\phi(.-y)e^{i(a+2\pi n_k)y}f_{m_k}(y)dy,e^{i(a+2\pi n_k).}f_{m_k}(.)\rangle\Big|\end{aligned}$$

$$= \Big| \langle \Big(T_\phi (e^{i(a+2\pi n_k)\cdot} f_{m_k}) \Big), e^{i(a+2\pi n_k)\cdot} f_{m_k}(.) \rangle \Big|$$

and $|I_k| \leq \|T_\phi\|$. Consequently, we deduce that

$$|\hat{\phi}(i\lambda + a)| \leq \|T_\phi\|. \ \square$$

Notice that the property (2.3) implies that

$$|\hat{\phi}(\lambda)| \leq \|T_\phi\|, \ \forall \lambda \in \mathbb{C}, \text{ provided } \operatorname{Im} \lambda = \alpha_0.$$

Lemma 4. *Let $\phi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ and let λ be such that $e^{-\bar{\lambda}} \in \sigma((P^+ S_{-1})^*)$ with $Re\, \lambda = -\alpha_1$. Then we have*

$$|\hat{\phi}(i\lambda + a)| \leq \|(T_\phi)\|, \ \forall a \in \mathbb{R}. \tag{2.4}$$

Proof. Consider the semigroup $(P^+ S_{-t})^*_{t \geq 0}$ and let B be its generator. We identify H and its dual space H'. So the semigroup $(P^+ S_{-t})^*$, $t \geq 0$ is acting on H. Let $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ be such that $e^{-\bar{\lambda}} \in \sigma((P^+ S_{-1})^*)$ and $|e^{-\bar{\lambda}}| = \rho(P^+ S_{-1}) = \rho((P^+ S_{-1})^*) = e^{\alpha_1}$. Then, by the same argument as in Lemma 1, we prove that there exists a sequence $(n_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ of integers and a sequence $(f_{m_k})_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ of functions of H such that for all $t \in \mathbb{R}^+$,

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} \|(e^{tB} - e^{(-\bar{\lambda} + i2\pi n_k)t}) f_{m_k}\| = 0$$

and $\|f_{m_k}\| = 1$. Thus we deduce

$$\lim_{k \to +\infty} \|(P^+ S_{-t})^* f_{m_k} - e^{-(\bar{\lambda} - i2\pi n_k)t} f_{m_k}\| = 0, \ t \geq 0.$$

Since for $t \geq 0$ we have $P^+ S_{-t} S_t = I$, we get $(S_t)^* (P^+ S_{-t})^* = I$. Then, for $t \geq 0$ we get

$$\|(S_t)^* f_{m_k} - e^{(\bar{\lambda} - i2\pi n_k)t} f_{m_k}\|$$
$$= \|(S_t)^* f_{m_k} - e^{(\bar{\lambda} - i2\pi n_k)t} (S_t)^* (P^+ S_{-t})^* f_{m_k}\|$$
$$\leq \|(S_t)^*\| |e^{(\bar{\lambda} - i2\pi n_k)t}| \|(e^{-(\bar{\lambda} - i2\pi n_k)t} f_{m_k} - (P^+ S_{-t})^* f_{m_k})\|.$$

This implies that

$$\lim_{k \to +\infty} \|((P^+ S_t)^* - e^{(\bar{\lambda} - i2\pi n_k)t}) f_{m_k}\| = 0, \ \forall t \in \mathbb{R}. \tag{2.5}$$

We write

$$\hat{\phi}(i\lambda + a) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} < \phi(t) e^{-i(a+2\pi n_k)t} f_{m_k}, e^{\bar{\lambda}t - 2\pi i n_k t} f_{m_k} > dt$$
$$= \int_{\mathbb{R}} < \phi(t) e^{-i(a+2\pi n_k)t} f_{m_k}, \Big(e^{(\bar{\lambda} - i2\pi n_k)t} - (P^+ S_t)^* \Big) f_{m_k} > dt$$
$$+ \int_{\mathbb{R}} < \phi(t) e^{-i(a+2\pi n_k)t} (P^+ S_t) f_{m_k}, f_{m_k} > dt = J'_k + I'_k.$$

From (2.5) we deduce that $J'_k \to 0$ as $k \to \infty$. For I'_k we apply the same argument as in the proof of Lemma 3 and we get

$$|\hat{\phi}(i\lambda)| \leq \|T_\phi\|. \ \square$$

Lemma 5. *For every function* $\phi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ *and for* $z \in U = \{z \in \mathbb{C},\ \mathrm{Im}\, z \in [-\alpha_1, \alpha_0]\}$ *we have*

$$|\hat{\phi}(z)| \leq \|T_\phi\|.$$

Proof. We will use the Phragmén-Lindelöf theorem and we start by proving the estimations on the bounding lines. There exists $\alpha = e^{-iz} \in \sigma(S)$ such that $|\alpha| = e^{\mathrm{Im}\, z} = e^{\alpha_0}$. Following (2.3), we obtain

$$|\hat{\phi}(z)| \leq \|T_\phi\|,$$

for every z such that $\mathrm{Im}\, z = \alpha_0$. Next notice that $\rho(P^+S_{-1}) = \rho\Big((P^+S_{-1})^*\Big)$. So there exists $\beta = e^{-i\bar{z}} = e^{-\overline{(-iz)}} \in \sigma((P^+S_{-1})^*)$ such that $|\beta| = e^{\alpha_1}$ and

$$-\mathrm{Im}\, z = \ln|\beta| = \alpha_1.$$

Then taking into account (2.4), we get

$$|\hat{\phi}(z)| \leq \|T_\phi\|,$$

for every z such that $\mathrm{Im}\, z = -\alpha_1$. In the case $\alpha_1 + \alpha_0 = 0$ the result is obvious. So assume that $\alpha_0 + \alpha_1 > 0$. Since $\phi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ we have

$$|\hat{\phi}(z)| \leq C\|\phi\|_\infty e^{k|\mathrm{Im}\, z|} \leq K\|\phi\|_\infty,\ \ \forall z \in U,$$

where $C > 0$, $k > 0$ and $K > 0$ are constants. An application of the Phragmén-Lindelöf theorem for the holomorphic function $\widehat{\phi}(z)$, yields

$$|\widehat{\phi}(\alpha)| \leq \|T_\phi\|$$

for $\alpha \in \{z \in \mathbb{C} : \mathrm{Im}\, z \in [-\alpha_1,\ \alpha_0]\}$. □

Combining the results in Lemma 3-5, we get

Lemma 6. *For every* $\phi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ *and for every* $a \in [-\alpha_1,\ \alpha_0]$ *we have*

$$|\widehat{(\phi)_a}(x)| \leq \|T_\phi\|,\ \forall x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Proof of Theorem 1. The proof follows the approach in [5]. Let T be a Wiener-Hopf operator. Then there exists a sequence $(\phi_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \subset C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ such that T is the limit of $(T_{\phi_n})_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ with respect to the strong operator topology and we have $\|T_{\phi_n}\| \leq C\|T\|$, where C is a constant independent of n (see [5]). Let $a \in [-\alpha_1,\ \alpha_0]$. According to Lemma 6, we have

$$|\widehat{(\phi_n)_a}(x)|\ \leq \|T_{\phi_n}\| \leq C\|T\|,\ \forall x \in \mathbb{R},\ \forall n \in \mathbb{N} \tag{2.6}$$

and we replace $(\widehat{(\phi_n)_a})_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ by a suitable subsequence also denoted by $(\widehat{(\phi_n)_a})_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ converging with respect to the weak topology $\sigma(L^\infty(\mathbb{R}), L^1(\mathbb{R}))$ to a function $h_a \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ such that $\|h_a\|_\infty \leq\ C\ \|T\|$. We have

$$\lim_{n\to+\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \Big(\widehat{(\phi_n)_a}(x) - h_a(x)\Big)\, g(x)\, dx = 0,\ \ \forall g \in L^1(\mathbb{R}).$$

Fix $f \in L^2_\omega(\mathbb{R}^+)$ so that $(f)_a \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^+)$. Then we get

$$\lim_{n\to+\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \Big(\widehat{(\phi_n)_a}(x)\widehat{(f)_a}(x) - h_a(x)\widehat{(f)_a}(x) \Big)\, g(x)\, dx = 0,$$

for all $g \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$. We conclude that $\Big(\widehat{(\phi_n)_a}\widehat{(f)_a}\Big)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ converges weakly in $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ to $h_a\widehat{(f)_a}$. On the other hand, we have

$$(T_{\phi_n} f)_a = P^+((\phi_n)_a * (f)_a) = P^+\mathcal{F}^{-1}(\widehat{(\phi_n)_a}\widehat{(f)_a})$$

and thus $(T_{\phi_n} f)_a$ converges weakly in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^+)$ to $P^+\mathcal{F}^{-1}(h_a\widehat{(f)_a})$. For $g \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R})$, we obtain

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^+} \Big|(T_{\phi_n} f)_a(x) - (Tf)_a(x)\Big|\, |g(x)|\, dx$$
$$\leq C_{a,g}\|T_{\phi_n} f - Tf\|,\ \forall n \in \mathbb{N},$$

where $C_{a,g}$ is a constant depending only of g and a. Since $(T_{\phi_n} f)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ converges to Tf in $L^2_\omega(\mathbb{R}^+)$, we get

$$\lim_{n\to+\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^+} (T_{\phi_n}\, f)_a(x) g(x)\, dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^+} (Tf)_a(x) g(x)\, dx,\ \ \forall g \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}).$$

Thus we deduce that $(Tf)_a = P^+\mathcal{F}^{-1}(h_a\widehat{(f)_a})$. The symbol h is holomorphic on $\overset{\circ}{U}$ following the same arguments as in [5]. □

3. Preliminary spectral result

As a first step to our spectral analysis in this section we prove the following

Proposition 1. *Let $T \in \mathcal{M}$ and suppose that the symbol μ of T is continuous on U. Then $\mu(U) \subset \sigma(T)$.*

Proof of Proposition 1. Let T be a bounded operator on H commuting with S_t, $t \geq 0$ or P^+S_{-t}, $t \geq 0$. For $a \in [-\alpha_1,\ \alpha_0]$, we have

$$(Tf)_a = P^+\mathcal{F}^{-1}(\mu_a\widehat{(f)_a}),\ \forall f \in L^2_\omega(\mathbb{R}^+),$$

where $\mu_a \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$, provided $(f)_a \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^+)$. Suppose that $\lambda \notin \sigma(T)$. Then, it follows easily that the resolvent $(T - \lambda I)^{-1}$ also commutes with $(S_t)_{t\in\mathbb{R}^+}$ or $(P^+S_{-t})_{t\in\mathbb{R}^+}$. Consequently, $(T - \lambda I)^{-1}$ is a Wiener-Hopf operator and for $a \in [-\alpha_1,\ \alpha_0]$ there exists a function $h_a \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ such that

$$((T - \lambda I)^{-1} g)_a = P^+\mathcal{F}^{-1}(h_a\widehat{(g)_a}),$$

for $g \in L^2_\omega(\mathbb{R}^+)$ such that $(g)_a \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^+)$. If f is such that $(f)_a \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^+)$, set $g = (T - \lambda I)f$. Then following Theorem 1, we deduce that $(Tf)_a \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^+)$ and $(g)_a = ((T - \lambda I)f)_a \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^+)$. Thus applying once more Theorem 1, we get

$$((T - \lambda I)^{-1}(T - \lambda I)f)_a = P^+\mathcal{F}^{-1}(h_a\mathcal{F}((T - \lambda I)f)_a)$$
$$= P^+\mathcal{F}^{-1}\Big(h_a\mathcal{F}P^+[\mathcal{F}^{-1}((\mu_a - \lambda)\widehat{(f)_a})]\Big).$$

We have

$$\|(f)_a\|_{L^2} \leq \|h_a \mathcal{F} P^+ \mathcal{F}^{-1}((\mu_a - \lambda)\widehat{(f)_a})\|_{L^2} \leq \|h_a\|_\infty \|\mathcal{F} P^+ \mathcal{F}^{-1}((\mu_a - \lambda)\widehat{(f)_a})\|_{L^2}$$

and we deduce

$$\|\widehat{(f)_a}\|_{L^2} \leq C\|(\mu_a - \lambda)\widehat{(f)_a}\|_{L^2}, \tag{3.1}$$

for all $f \in L^2_\omega(\mathbb{R}^+)$ such that $(f)_a \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^+)$. Let $\lambda = \mu_a(\eta_0) = \mu(\eta_0 + ia) \in \mu(U)$ for $a \in [-\ln \rho(P^+ S_{-1}),\ \ln \rho(S)]$ and some $\eta_0 \in \mathbb{R}$. Since the symbol μ of T is continuous, the function $\mu_a(\eta) = \mu(\eta + ia)$ is continuous on $\mathbb{R}$. We will construct a function $f(x) = F(x)e^{-ax}$ with $\mathrm{supp}(F) \subset \mathbb{R}^+$ for which (3.1) is not fulfilled. Consider

$$g(t) = e^{-\frac{b^2(t-t_0)^2}{2}} e^{i(t-t_0)\eta_0},\ b > 0, t_0 > 1$$

with Fourier transform

$$\hat{g}(\xi) = \frac{1}{b} e^{-\frac{(\xi-\eta_0)^2}{2b^2}} e^{-it_0\xi}.$$

Fix a small $0 < \epsilon < \frac{1}{2}C^{-2}$, where C is the constant in (3.1) and let $\delta > 0$ be fixed so that $|\mu_a(\xi) - \lambda| \leq \sqrt{\epsilon}$ for $\xi \in V = \{\xi \in \mathbb{R} :\ |\xi - \eta_0| \leq \delta\}$. Moreover, assume that

$$|\mu_a(\xi) - \lambda|^2 \leq C_1,\ a.e.\ \xi \in \mathbb{R}.$$

We have for $0 < b \leq 1$ small enough

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}\setminus V} |\hat{g}(\xi)|^2 d\xi \leq \frac{1}{b^2} \int_{|\xi-\eta_0|\geq\delta} e^{-\frac{(\xi-\eta_0)^2}{2b^2}} d\xi$$

$$\leq e^{-\frac{\delta^2}{4b^2}} \frac{1}{b^2} \int_{|\xi-\eta_0|\geq\delta} e^{-\frac{(\xi-\eta_0)^2}{4b^2}} d\xi \leq C_0 b^{-1} e^{-\frac{\delta^2}{4b^2}} \leq \epsilon$$

with $C_0 > 0$ independent of $b > 0$. We fix $b > 0$ with the above property and we choose a function $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^+)$ such that $0 \leq \varphi \leq 1$, $\varphi(t) = 1$ for $1 \leq t \leq 2t_0 - 1$, $\varphi(t) = 0$ for $t \leq 1/2$ and for $t \geq 2t_0 - 1/2$. We suppose that $|\varphi^{(k)}(t)| \leq c_1,\ k = 1, 2, \forall t \in \mathbb{R}$. Set $G(t) = (\varphi(t) - 1)g(t)$. We will show that

$$|(1 + \xi^2)\hat{G}(\xi)| \leq \sqrt{\frac{C_2}{4\pi}\epsilon} \tag{3.2}$$

for t_0 large enough with $C_2 > 0$ independent of t_0. On the support of $(\varphi - 1)$ we have $|t - t_0| > t_0 - 1$ and integrating by parts in $\int_{\mathbb{R}}(1 + \xi^2)G(t)e^{-it\xi}dt$ we must estimate the integral

$$\int_{|t-t_0|\geq t_0-1} e^{-\frac{b^2(t-t_0)^2}{2}} (1 + |t - t_0| + (t - t_0)^2) dt$$

$$\leq \Big(\int_{-\infty}^{1-t_0} (1 + |y| + y^2) e^{-b^2y^2/2} dy + \int_{t_0-1}^{\infty} (1 + y + y^2) e^{-b^2y^2/2} dy \Big).$$

Choosing t_0 large enough we arrange (3.2).

We set $F = \varphi g \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^+)$ and we obtain

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}\setminus V} |\hat{F}(\xi)|^2 d\xi \leq 2\int_{\mathbb{R}\setminus V} |\hat{g}(\xi)|^2 d\xi + 2\int_{\mathbb{R}\setminus V} |\hat{G}(\xi)|^2 d\xi$$

$$\leq 2\epsilon + \frac{C_2\epsilon}{2\pi}\int_{\mathbb{R}} (1+\xi^2)^{-2} d\xi \leq (2+C_2)\epsilon.$$

Then

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} |(\mu_a(\xi)-\lambda)\hat{F}(\xi)|^2 d\xi \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}\setminus V} |(\mu_a(\xi)-\lambda)\hat{F}(\xi)|^2 d\xi + \int_V |(\mu_a(\xi)-\lambda)\hat{F}(\xi)|^2 d\xi$$

$$\leq C_1(2+C_2)\epsilon + (2\pi)^2\|F\|_{L^2}^2\epsilon.$$

Now assume (3.1) fulfilled. Therefore

$$(2\pi)^2\|F\|_{L^2}^2 \leq C^2\|(\mu_a(\xi)-\lambda)\hat{F}(\xi)\|_{L^2}^2 \leq C^2C_1(2+C_2)\epsilon + (2\pi C)^2\|F\|_{L^2}^2\epsilon,$$

and since $C^2\epsilon < \frac{1}{2}$, we conclude that

$$\|F\|_{L^2}^2 \leq \frac{C^2C_1}{2\pi^2}(2+C_2)\epsilon.$$

On the other hand,

$$\|F\|_{L^2}^2 \geq \frac{1}{2}\|g\|_{L^2}^2 - \|(\varphi-1)g\|_{L^2}^2 \geq \frac{1}{2}\|g\|_{L^2}^2 - (2\pi)^{-2}\frac{C_2}{2}\epsilon$$

and

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} |g(t)|^2 dt \geq \int_{|t-t_0|\leq \frac{1}{b}} e^{-b^2(t-t_0)^2} dt \geq \frac{2e^{-1}}{b} \geq 2e^{-1}.$$

For small ϵ we obtain a contradiction, since C_2 is independent of ϵ. This completes the proof. □

4. SPECTRA OF $(S_t)_{t\in\mathbb{R}^+}$, $(P^+(S_{-t}))_{t\in\mathbb{R}^+}$ AND BOUNDED OPERATORS COMMUTING WITH AT LEAST ONE OF THESE SEMIGROUPS

Observing that the symbol of S_t is $z \longrightarrow e^{-itz}$, an application of Proposition 1 to the operator S_t yields

$$\{z \in \mathbb{C},\ e^{-\alpha_1 t} \leq |z| \leq e^{\alpha_0 t}\} \subset \sigma(S_t). \tag{4.1}$$

This inclusion describes only a part of the spectrum of S_t. We will show that in our general setting we have (1.2). To prove this, for $t > 0$ assume that $z \in \mathbb{C}$ is such that $0 < |z| < e^{-\alpha_1 t}$. Let $g \in H$ be a function such that $g(x) = 0$ for $x \geq t$ and $g \neq 0$. If the operator $(zI - S_t)$ is surjective on H, then there exists $f \neq 0$ such that $(z - S_t)f = g$. This implies $P^+S_{-t}g = 0$ and hence

$$\Big(P^+S_{-t} - \frac{1}{z}I\Big)f = 0$$

which is a contradiction. So every such z is in the spectrum of S_t and we obtain (1.2).

Next, it is easy to see that in our setup for the approximative point spectrum $\Pi(S_t)$ of S we have the inclusion

$$\Pi(S_t) \subset \{z \in \mathbb{C} : \ e^{-\alpha_1 t} \leq |z| \leq e^{\alpha_0 t}\}. \tag{4.2}$$

Indeed, for $z \neq 0$, we have the equality

$$P^+ S_{-t} - \frac{1}{z} I = \frac{1}{z} P^+ S_{-t}(zI - S_t).$$

If for $z \in \mathbb{C}$ with $0 < |z| < e^{-\alpha_1 t}$, there exists a sequence (f_n) such that $\|f_n\| = 1$ and $\|(zI - S_t)f_n\| \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$, then

$$\Big(P^+ S_{-t} - \frac{1}{z} I\Big) f_n \to 0, \ n \to \infty$$

and this leads to $\frac{1}{z} \in \sigma(P^+ S_{-t})$ which is a contradiction. Next, if $0 \in \Pi(S_t)$, there exists a sequence $g_n \in H$ such that $S_t g_n \to 0$, $\|g_n\| = 1$. Then $g_n = P^+ S_{-t} S_t g_n$ and we obtain a contradiction.

Since the symbol of $P^+ S_{-t}$ is $z \longrightarrow e^{itz}$, applying Proposition 1, we obtain

$$\{z \in \mathbb{C} : \ e^{-\alpha_0 t} \leq |z| \leq e^{\alpha_1 t}\} \subset \sigma(P^+ S_{-t}).$$

Passing to the proof of (1.3), notice that $S_t^*(P^+ S_{-t})^* = I$. Then for $0 < |z| < e^{-\alpha_0 t}$ we have

$$z\Big(\frac{1}{z} I - S_t^*\Big) = S_t^*\Big((P^+ S_{-t})^* - z\Big). \tag{4.3}$$

It is clear that $0 \in \sigma_r(S_t)$, where $\sigma_r(S_t)$ denotes the residual spectrum of S_t. In fact, if $0 \notin \sigma_r(S_t)$, then 0 is in the approximative point spectrum of S_t and this contradicts (4.2). Since $0 \in \sigma_r(S_t)$, we deduce that 0 is an eigenvalue of S_t^*. Let $S_t^* g = 0$, $g \neq 0$. Assume that $(P^+ S_{-t})^* - zI$ is surjective. Therefore, there exists $f \neq 0$ so that $((P^+ S_{-t})^* - z)f = g$ and (4.3) yields $(\frac{1}{z} - S_t^*)f = 0$. Consequently, $\frac{1}{|z|} \leq \rho(S_t^*) = \rho(S_t) = e^{\alpha_0 t}$ and we obtain a contradiction. Thus we conclude that $z \in \sigma((P^+ S_{-t})^*)$, hence $\bar{z} \in \sigma(P^+ S_{-t})$ and the proof of (1.3) is complete.

To study the operators commuting with $(S_t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}^+}$, we need the following

Lemma 7. *Let $\phi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R})$. The operator T_ϕ commutes with S_t, $\forall t > 0$, if and only if the support of ϕ is in $\overline{\mathbb{R}^+}$.*

Proof. First if $\psi \in L^2_\omega(\mathbb{R}^+)$ has compact support in $\overline{\mathbb{R}^+}$, it is easy to see that T_ψ commutes with S_t, $t \geq 0$. Now consider $\phi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ and suppose that T_ϕ commutes with S_t, $t \geq 0$. We write $\phi = \phi\chi_{\mathbb{R}^-} + \phi\chi_{\mathbb{R}^+}$. If T_ϕ commutes with S_t, $t \geq 0$, then the operator $T_{\phi\chi_{\mathbb{R}^-}}$ commutes too. Let the function $\psi = \phi\chi_{\mathbb{R}^-}$ have support in $[-a, 0]$ with $a > 0$. Setting $f = \chi_{[0,a]}$, we get $S_a f = \chi_{[a,2a]}$. For $x \geq 0$ we have

$$P^+(\psi * S_a f)(x) = \int_{-a}^{0} \psi(t)\chi_{\{a \leq x-t \leq 2a\}} dt = \int_{max(-a,-2a+x)}^{min(x-a,0)} \psi(t) dt.$$

Since $P^+(\psi * S_a f) = S_a P^+(\psi * f)$, for $x \in [0, a]$, we deduce $P^+(\psi * S_a f)(x) = 0$ and

$$\int_{-a}^{x-a} \psi(t)dt = 0,\ \forall x \in [0, a].$$

This implies that $\psi(t) = 0$, for $t \in [-a, 0]$ and $supp(\phi) \subset \overline{\mathbb{R}^+}$. □

Lemma 8. *Let* λ *be such that* $e^\lambda \in \sigma(S)$. *Then there exists a sequence* $(n_k)_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ *of integers and a sequence* $(f_{m_k})_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ *of functions of* H *such that*

$$\lim_{k\to\infty} < \Big(S_t - e^{(\lambda+2\pi i n_k)t}\Big) f_{m_k}, f_{m_k} >= 0,\ \forall t \in \mathbb{R}^+,\ \ \|f_{m_k}\| = 1,\ \forall k \in \mathbb{N}. \tag{4.4}$$

Proof. Denote by $\sigma_r(A)$ the residual spectrum of A. If $\lambda \notin \sigma_r(A)$, or if $\lambda \notin \sigma(A)$, we obtain the sequences $(n_k)_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ and $(f_{m_k})_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ as in the proof of Lemma 1. If $\lambda \in \sigma_r(A)$ then there exists $f \in H$ such that $A^* f = \overline{\lambda} f$ and $\|f\| = 1$. We set $f_{m_k} = f$ and $n_k = 0$, for $k \in \mathbb{N}$. □

Lemma 9. *For all* $\phi \in C_c^\infty(\overline{\mathbb{R}^+})$ *and* λ *such that* $e^\lambda \in \sigma(S)$ *we have*

$$|\hat{\phi}(i\lambda)| \leq \|T_\phi\|. \tag{4.5}$$

The proof is based on the equality

$$\hat{\phi}(i\lambda) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^+} \phi(t) e^{\lambda t} dt = \int_{\mathbb{R}^+} \langle \phi(t) e^{(\lambda+2\pi i n_k)t} f_{m_k}, e^{2\pi i n_k t} f_{m_k} \rangle dt$$

$$= \int_{\mathbb{R}^+} \langle \phi(t) \Big(e^{(\lambda+2\pi i n_k)t} I - S_t\Big) f_{m_k}, e^{2\pi i n_k t} f_{m_k} \rangle dt + \int_{\mathbb{R}^+} \langle \phi(t) S_t f_{m_k}, e^{2\pi i n_k t} f_{m_k} \rangle dt.$$

We apply Lemma 8 and we repeat the argument of the proof of Lemma 3. Notice that here the integration is over $\mathbb{R}^+$ and we do not need to examine the integral for $t < 0$.

Following [5], the operator T is a limit of a sequences of operators T_{ϕ_n}, where $\phi_n \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ and $\|T_{\phi_n}\| \leq C\|T\|$. The sequence (T_{ϕ_n}) has been constructed in [5] and it follows from its construction that if T commutes with S_t, $t > 0$, then T_{ϕ_n} has the same property. Therefore, Lemma 7 implies that $\phi_n \in C_c^\infty(\overline{\mathbb{R}^+})$ and to obtain Theorem 2 for bounded operators commuting with $(S_t)_{t>0}$, we apply Lemma 9 and the same arguments as in the proof of Theorem 1. Finally, applying Theorem 2 and the arguments of the proof of Proposition 1, we establish (1.4) and this completes the proof of iii) in Theorem 3.

Next we prove the following

Lemma 10. *Let* $\phi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R})$. *Then* T_ϕ *commutes with* $P^+(S_{-t})$, $\forall t > 0$ *if and only if* $supp(\phi) \subset \overline{\mathbb{R}^-}$.

The proof of Lemma 10 is essentially the same as that of Lemma 7. By using Lemma 10, we obtain an analogue of Lemma 9 and Theorem 2 for bounded operators commuting with $(P^+ S_{-t})_{t>0}$ and applying these results we establish iv) in Theorem 3.

References

[1] A. Beurling, P. Malliavin, *On Fourier transforms of mesures with compact support*, Acta. Math. **107** (1962), 201-309.

[2] K. J. Engel and R. Nagel, *A short course on operator semigroups*, Springer, Berlin, 2006.

[3] L. Gearhart, *Spectral theory for contraction semigroups on Hilbert space*, Trans. AMS, **236** (1978), 385-394.

[4] I. Herbst, *The spectrum of Hilbert space semigroups*, J. Operator Theory, **10** (1983), 87-94.

[5] V. Petkova, *Wiener-Hopf operators on* $L^2_\omega(\mathbb{R}^+)$, Arch. Math.(Basel), **84** (2005), 311-324.

[6] V. Petkova, *Wiener-Hopf operators on Banach spaces of vector-valued functions on* $\mathbb{R}^+$, Integral Equations and Operator Theory, **59** (2007), 355-378.

[7] V. Petkova, *Multipliers on a Hilbert space of functions on* $\mathbb{R}$, Serdica Math. J. **35** (2009), 207-216.

[8] V. Petkova, *Spectral theorem for multipliers on* $L^2_\omega(\mathbb{R})$, Arch. Math. (Basel), **93** (2009), 357-368.

[9] W. C. Ridge, *Approximative point spectrum of a weighted shift*, Trans. AMS, **147** (1970), 349-356.

[10] W. C. Ridge, *Spectrum of a composition operator*, Proc. AMS, **37** (1973), 121-127.

[11] L. Weis, *The stability of positive semigroups on* L_p*-spaces*, Proc. AMS, **123** (1995), 3089-3094.

[12] L. Weis, *A short proof for the stability theorem for positive semigroups on* $L_p(\mu)$, Proc. AMS, **126** (1998), 325-3256.

LMAM, Université de Metz, UMR 7122,Ile du Saulcy 57045, Metz Cedex 1, France.
E-mail address: `petkova@univ-metz.fr`